

#### 4.4. Cartan Subalgebra

Def.: Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra, and  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  a sub-algebra.  $\mathfrak{h}$  is called an ideal when  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, y \in \mathfrak{h}$   $[x, y] \in \mathfrak{h}$

subalgebra :  $\left. \begin{array}{l} [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h} \\ \text{and} \quad [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h} \end{array} \right\} \mathfrak{h} = \text{ideal}$

(I) An algebra is called simple if it does not have any proper ideals.  $\nwarrow$  strictly smaller than the whole algebra

(II) An algebra is called semi-simple if it is the direct sum of simple algebras.

Example:  $U \in U(N) \longrightarrow U^+ = U^{-1} \quad (1^+ = 1 = 1^{-1})$

$$U = \exp(iSU) \longrightarrow SU^+ = SU$$

$$SU \xrightarrow{\quad} 1$$

$\xrightarrow{\quad}$  all other  $N^2 - 1$  trace less, hermitian matrices

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} [1, 1] = 0 \cdot 1 \quad \text{Subalgebra} \checkmark \\ [1, x] = 0 \cdot 1 \quad \checkmark \end{array} \right\} \text{ideal}$$

$\rightarrow 1$  is a proper ideal in the Lie algebra  $u(N)$

$\rightarrow u(N)$  is not simple

by removing this ideal we get  $SU(N)$  which is simple.  $\nwarrow (u(1) \text{- factor})$

Cartan: An algebra is semi-simple iff (if and only if) the Killing form is non-singular.

To work with a semi-simple Lie algebra, it is convenient to use an eigenbasis  $\{\tilde{T}_\alpha\}$  with:

$$\text{ad}_X(\tilde{T}_\alpha) = [X, \tilde{T}_\alpha] = \underbrace{\sum_\alpha \tilde{T}_\alpha}_{\text{eigen values for eigen vectors } \tilde{T}_\alpha}$$

Solve characteristic equation:

$$\det(\beta - 1\vec{I}) = 0$$

matrix representation of  $\text{ad}_X(\tilde{T}_\alpha)$

We want that solutions always  $\exists$ , therefore we use the field  $\mathbb{C}$  (smallest algebraic complete field) instead of  $\mathbb{R}$  from now on.

Finally, we need the maximal set of generators  $X$  which simultaneously solves the eigenvalue equation.

Remember quantum mechanics:

→ have to be linearly independent & commute

They  $\{H_i\}$ , span the abelian Cartan Subalgebra with  $[H_i, H_j] = 0$

The dimension of this subalgebra is called rank

$$r := \text{rank } G = \dim G_0$$

↑ Cartan subalgebra

## 5. Root System

remember: last section Cartan subalgebra

generators  $[H_i, H_j] = 0 \quad \forall H_i \in g_0, i=1, \dots, \text{rank } g$

Why? Because all element  $H_i \in g_0$  can be diagonalised simultaneously

$$[H, Y] := \text{ad}_H(Y) = \overset{\leftarrow}{\alpha_Y}(H) Y$$

eigen vector and corresponding eigen value

eigen values are  $\boxed{\text{roots}}$  of the characteristic equations

They are assigned to each element of  $g_0$ :

$$\alpha_Y : g_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

→ Roots are elements of the vector space  $g_0^*$  dual to  $g_0$ .

Idea: decompose Lie algebra  $g$  into

$$g = g_0 \bigoplus_{\alpha \neq 0} g_\alpha, \quad g_\alpha = \{Y \in g \mid [H, Y] = \alpha(H) Y, \forall H \in g_0\}$$

Cartan elements      non-zero roots

This is called root space decomposition of  $g$ .

$g_0$  = Cartan subalgebra &  $g_\alpha$  = root subspaces

The collection of all the roots is called root system

$\Phi = \Phi(g)$ . For a simple Lie algebra, it has the properties:

1) The root system spans  $g_0^*$ ,  $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{C}}(\Phi) = g_0^*$ .

- NOT a basis because more roots than rank  $g$
- 2) For any  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , there is a  $Y_{-\alpha} \in g$  such that  
Killing metric  $\rightarrow K(Y_\alpha, Y_{-\alpha}) \neq 0$ .

- 3) The only multiples of  $\alpha \in \Phi$  which are roots are  $\pm\alpha$ .
- 4) The root spaces  $g_\alpha$  are one dimensional.

Homework: Try to prove 1) - 4).

Because of them we can introduce the  
5.1. Cartan-Weyl Basis

(I) basis for  $g_0 \quad H_\alpha$  such that  $\forall H \in g_0$

$$\alpha(H) := c_\alpha K(H_\alpha, H)$$

normalisation constants, we fix them later

This choice gives rise to the non-degenerate pairing

$$(\alpha, \beta) := c_\alpha c_\beta K(H_\alpha, H_\beta) = c_\alpha \beta(H_\alpha) = c_\beta \alpha(H_\beta)$$

on  $g_0^*$ .

(II) for each  $H_\alpha \in g_0$  there is an associated root  $E_\alpha$   
with  $[H, E_\alpha] = \alpha(H) E_\alpha$ .

$\rightarrow$  we can associate to any root  $\alpha$  an  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$   
subalgebra generated by  $\{E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}, H_\alpha\}$ .

Check by calculating:  $[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}]$

$$\begin{aligned} K(H, [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}]) &= K([H, E_\alpha], E_{-\alpha}) \\ &\stackrel{\text{ad-invariance}}{=} \alpha(H) K(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}) \neq 0 \text{ because of 2)} \\ &= c_\alpha K(H, H_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

$K(\cdot, \cdot)$  is non-degenerate and eq. holds  $\forall H \in g_0$

$$\rightarrow [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = c_\alpha K(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}) H_\alpha, \text{ we also have:}$$

$$[H_\alpha, E_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm \alpha(H_\alpha) E_{\pm\alpha} = \pm \underbrace{\frac{(\alpha, \alpha)}{c_\alpha}}_{c_\alpha} E_{\pm\alpha}$$

standard normalisation for  $sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 2$

$$c_\alpha = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha, \alpha) \quad \text{and therefore} \quad \alpha(H_\beta) = \frac{2(\alpha, \beta)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}$$

We see that the structure of  $sl(2, \mathbb{C})$  [complexified version of  $SU(2)$ ] occurs multiple times inside a simple Lie algebra.

We already have  $[H_\alpha, E_\beta]$  and  $[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}]$ , but what about  $[E_\alpha, E_\beta]$ ?

Tool root vector:  $[H_i, E_\alpha] = \alpha_i E_\alpha$   
 or root for short  $\alpha_i := \alpha(H_i)$

$$\begin{aligned} [H_i, [E_\alpha, E_\beta]] &= -[E_\alpha, [E_\beta, H_i]] - [E_\beta, [H_i, E_\alpha]] \\ &= [E_\alpha, [H_i, E_\beta]] + [[H_i, E_\alpha], E_\beta] \\ &= (\alpha_i + \beta_i) [E_\alpha, E_\beta] \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  this implies that  $[E_\alpha, E_\beta]$  is proportional to the generator  $E_{\alpha+\beta}$  of the root subspace  $g_{\alpha+\beta}$ , provided that  $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$ . To summarise:

Ccartan-Weyl basis

$$[H_i, E_\alpha] = \alpha_i E_\alpha, \quad [H_i, H_j] = 0$$

$$[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}] = H_\alpha = \sum_i H_i, \quad [E_\alpha, E_\beta] = \begin{cases} e_{\alpha, \beta} E_{\alpha+\beta}, & \alpha + \beta \in \Phi \\ 0, & \alpha + \beta \notin \Phi \end{cases}$$

coroot for  $\alpha$

with the normalisation  $K(E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}) c_\alpha = 1$   
which implies  $K(E_\alpha, E_\beta) = \frac{2}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \delta_{\alpha, -\beta}.$