

remember: Dirac equation

$$(\not{\partial} + m)\Psi = 0$$

with $\not{\partial} := \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$ γ -matrices spinor $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \psi_3 \\ \psi_4 \end{pmatrix}$

original motivation: new representation of

Poincaré-group $\rightarrow$

Lorentz transformations

translations

in sec. 4.4. generators $J_{\mu\nu} = -J_{\nu\mu}$ $\frac{4 \cdot 3}{2} = 6 \checkmark$

$J_{ij} \hat{=} 3 \text{ rotations}$ generate Lie algebra

$J_{0i} \hat{=} 3 \text{ boosts}$

$$[J_{\mu\nu}, J_{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta_{\mu\sigma} J_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho} J_{\mu\sigma} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} J_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\rho} J_{\nu\sigma})$$

on a 4-vector an element $\Lambda^{\mu\nu} J_{\mu\nu}$ acts infinitesimally

by $\delta_\Lambda V^\mu = \Lambda^{\rho\sigma} (J_{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu V^\nu$ with

$$(J_{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu = i(\eta_{\rho\nu} \delta_\sigma^\mu - \eta_{\sigma\nu} \delta_\rho^\mu)$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta_\Lambda V^\mu = i(\Lambda^\mu{}_\nu V^\nu - \Lambda^\nu{}_\nu V^\mu)$$

Question: Can we do something similar for spinors?

ⓐ $S_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} [\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu]$ for which we want to

compute $[S_{\mu\nu}, S_{\rho\sigma}] = \textcircled{\text{I}}$

γ -matrix gymnastics:

$$\textcircled{\text{I}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} [\gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]}, \gamma_{[\rho} \gamma_{\sigma]}] = \frac{1}{4} (\gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]} \gamma_{[\rho} \gamma_{\sigma]} - \gamma_{[\rho} \gamma_{\sigma]} \gamma_{[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]})$$

so we need:

$$\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho = -\gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\nu + \gamma_\mu \{\gamma_\rho, \gamma_\nu\}$$

Clifford algebra: $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu} \mathbb{1}$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho &= -\gamma_\mu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\nu + 2\gamma_\mu \eta_{\rho\nu} \text{ again!} \\ &= \gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - 2\eta_{\mu\rho} \gamma_\nu + 2\gamma_\mu \eta_{\rho\nu} \\ &= \gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - 4\eta_{\rho[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]} //\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho \gamma_0 &= \gamma_\rho \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_0 - 4\eta_{\rho[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]} \gamma_0 \text{ again} \\ &= \gamma_\rho \gamma_0 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu - 4\eta_{0[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]} \gamma_\rho - 4\eta_{\rho[\mu} \gamma_{\nu]} \gamma_0\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{D} \textcircled{I} = -\eta_{[\mu} \gamma_{\rho]} \gamma_\nu + \eta_{[\rho\nu} \gamma_{\rho]} \gamma_\mu - \eta_{[\rho\mu} \gamma_\nu \gamma_0] + \eta_{[\rho\nu} \gamma_\mu \gamma_0]$$

$$= 2\eta_{\mu[\rho} S_{\sigma]\nu} - 2\eta_{\nu[\rho} S_{\sigma]\mu}$$

$$= \eta_{\mu\rho} S_{\sigma\nu} - \eta_{\mu\sigma} S_{\rho\nu} - \eta_{\nu\rho} S_{\sigma\mu} + \eta_{\nu\sigma} S_{\rho\mu}$$

→ Same relation as for $T_{\mu\nu}$ before

→ $S_{\mu\nu}$ generates Lorentz action on spinors

$$\boxed{\delta_\Lambda \Psi = \Lambda^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu} \Psi}$$

10.3. Lagrangian

We need to combine 2 spinors to a scalar.

Naive: $\delta_\Lambda \Psi^\dagger \Psi = (\Lambda^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu} \Psi)^\dagger \Psi + \Psi^\dagger \Lambda^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu} \Psi$

$$= \Lambda^{\mu\nu} \Psi^\dagger (S_{\mu\nu}^\dagger + S_{\mu\nu}) \Psi$$

Check: $(S_{0i})^\dagger = 1/4 [\gamma_0, \gamma_i]^\dagger = -1/4 [\gamma_0^\dagger, \gamma_i^\dagger]$
 remember from last lecture $\gamma_0^\dagger = \gamma_0$ $\gamma_i^\dagger = -\gamma_i$

$\Rightarrow (S_{0i})^\dagger = S_{0i}$ and $(S_{ij})^\dagger = -S_{ij}$

therefore $\mathcal{L}(\psi^\dagger \psi) \neq 0$ not a scalar $\therefore$

fixed by $\boxed{\bar{\psi} = i \psi^\dagger \gamma^0}$ Dirac conjugation

because: $S_{0i}^\dagger \gamma^0 = 1/4 (\gamma_0 \gamma_i - \gamma_i \gamma_0) \gamma_0$
 $\gamma_0 \gamma_0 = -1 \rightarrow = 1/4 \gamma_0 (\gamma_i \gamma_0 - \gamma_0 \gamma_i)$
 $= -\gamma_0 S_{0i}$

The Dirac equation arises from the action

$$\boxed{S = -\int d^4x (\bar{\psi} \not{\partial} \psi + m \bar{\psi} \psi)}$$

Variation with respect to $\bar{\psi}$ and ψ gives

$$\delta S = -\int d^4x \left[\delta \bar{\psi} \underbrace{(\not{\partial} \psi + m \psi)}_{\text{spinor}} + (-\partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu + m \bar{\psi}) \delta \psi \right]$$

$$\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + m \psi = i \partial_\mu \psi^\dagger \gamma^{\mu\dagger} \gamma_0 + m \underbrace{i \psi^\dagger \gamma_0}_{\bar{\psi}}$$

like before

$$\gamma^{\mu\dagger} \gamma_0 = \begin{cases} \gamma^{0\dagger} \gamma_0 = -\gamma_0 \gamma^0 \\ \gamma^{i\dagger} \gamma_0 = -\gamma_0 \gamma^i \end{cases}$$

$\Rightarrow (-\partial_\mu \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu + m \bar{\psi})$

the action reproduces the Dirac equation (:-)

10.4. Conserved charges

S is by construction invariant under Poincaré sym.

⇒ compute the conserved current wrt. time shifts

$$j^\mu = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\Psi} \gamma^\mu \dot{\Psi} - \dot{\bar{\Psi}} \gamma^\mu \Psi)$$

Noether's theorem $j^0 = \mathcal{E} = \text{energy density}$

$$\mathcal{E} = \frac{i}{2} (\dot{\bar{\Psi}} \Psi - \bar{\Psi} \dot{\Psi})$$

check for a simple solution:

$\partial_i \Psi = 0 \Rightarrow \Psi = \Psi(t)$ reduces Dirac equation

to $\gamma^0 \dot{\Psi} + m \Psi = 0$ for $\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) \\ b(t) \\ c(t) \\ d(t) \end{pmatrix}$

we then get

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -i\dot{a} + ma &= 0 \\ -i\dot{b} + mb &= 0 \\ i\dot{c} + mc &= 0 \\ i\dot{d} + md &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ solved by } \Psi = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 e^{-imt} \\ b_0 e^{-imt} \\ c_0 e^{imt} \\ d_0 e^{imt} \end{pmatrix}$$

with energy density $\mathcal{E} = m(|a_0|^2 + |b_0|^2 - |c_0|^2 - |d_0|^2)$
negative energy states

⇒ resolution Dirac sea in QM